



## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/067,910 04/28/98 VAN OVERVELD C PHN. 16.341 **EXAMINER** WM02/0222 CORPORATE PATENT COUNSEL STEVENSON PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** U S PHILIPS CORPORATION 580 WHITE PLAINS ROAD

TARRYTOWN NY 10591

2671 DATE MAILED:

02/22/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



**Advisory Action** 

Application No.

Applicat (S)

09/067,910

Van Overveld et al.

Group Art Unit

Examiner

Philip H. Stevenson

oup Art Uni **2671** 



	THE PART OF THE PA
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
a) X expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jan 22, 2001</u> has been considered with the following e but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	ffect,
The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
will not be entered because:	
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	—— in a
XI The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the limitation to claims 1 and 8 requiring "computing a respective model for each image" is met by the art of record as CHEN describes computing a model of each image, i.e. pixels plus range data, as described in the prior office action.	ı ——
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection.	the
For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
Claims allowed:Claims objected to:	
Claims rejected: 1-11	
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.	
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
Other Makymi	<i>_</i>
MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT FYAMINEE	

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600